

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,173 02/17/2004		02/17/2004	Yuh-Cherng Wu	13906-139001 / 2003P00611		
32864	7590	10/04/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIPPO BOX 10		SON, P.C.	KIM, PAUL			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2161		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/780,17	'3	WU ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Paul Kim		2161						
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress					
Period fo			3							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. ory period will apply and wi I, by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION Ont, however, may a reply be tire II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 August 2006								
,—	•)⊠ This action is n								
3)										
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the app	olication.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.									
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			·						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers									
,	The specification is objected to by the									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	e Action of form P	10-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
/	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have bee	n received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority docume	ents have been receiv	ed in this Nationa	l Stage					
	application from the Internation									
* (See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cert	fied copies not receiv	red.	11					
				. 4	Mul					
Attachman	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				M RIMELL RY EXAMINER					
Attachmer	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar							
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date						
3) 🔀 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>২/۱٦/০</u> 4,4/١/০৭, ৭ /25/05,2/	23/66	6) Other:	r atent Application						

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Amendment filed on 25 August 2006.

2. Claims 1-22 are pending and present for examination.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement mailed 8 August 2006 in the reply filed on 25 August 2006 is acknowledged. This is found persuasive and the restriction requirement is withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 17 February 2004, 1 April 2004, 25 July 2005 and 23 February 2006 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-10, 12-17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lei (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,552, hereinafter referred to as LEI), filed on 5 October 1998, and issued on 26 November 2002.

Art Unit: 2161

7. **As per independent claims 1, 19 and 21,** LEI teaches:

A computer-implemented method for retrieving information from a knowledge base, the method comprising:

building a search request that contains a search query and a pattern having a set of attributes {See LEI, C14:L4-32, wherein this reads over "[t]he policy function then selectively adds one or more predicates to the received query"}; and

using the search request to retrieve information from the knowledge base, wherein the retrieved information contains information associated with the search query, and wherein the retrieved information is also associated with the set of attributes contained in the pattern {See LEI, C13:L55–C14:L32, wherein this reads over "[d]ynamic predicate attachment involves selectively adding predicates to queries that access database objects, such as tables and views"}.

8. **As per dependent claim 2,** LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the search query is input by a user (See LEI, C1:L52-61, wherein this reads over "views may be queried by users").

9. **As per dependent claim 3,** LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the method comprises:

using the search request to retrieve information from the knowledge base using a search index {See LEI, C7:L37-40, wherein this reads over "[i]f department is a indexed column, the execution plan for the query call the My_department function . . . but it will only be an indexed lookup for the query that invokes GetContextValue."}.

10. **As per dependent claim 4,** it would be inherent for the search query to include knowledge base search terms since without the inclusion of the search terms, the search query would not be able to return any relevant data pertinent to the search query.

11. **As per dependent claim 5,** LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 4, wherein the knowledge base search terms contain textual search terms {See LEI, C12:L12-55, wherein this reads over "SELECT * from t WHERE t.lang=GetContextValue(mysession, language)"}.

12. **As per dependent claims 6 and 13,** LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 12, wherein the search strategy is associated with one or more control entities (See LEI, C11:L13-29, wherein this reads over "a security policy may allow special classes of users to have special context modification privileges, or have special rules that govern certain types of context attributes").

13. As per dependent claims 7 and 14, LEI teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/780,173

Art Unit: 2161

The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the control entities are each selected from a group consisting of a user name, a country code, a region, and a organization (See LEI, C11:L13-29, wherein this reads over "a security policy may allow special classes of users to have special context modification privileges ", "a security_level attribute may have the rule that everyone except the CEO may only decrease the attribute value" and "[i]f the current user is not the CEO, then procedure 250 reads the current value of the security_level attribute"}.

14. As per dependent claims 8 and 15, LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the pattern contains a set of attributes that each have a name/value pair {See LEI, C12:L4-10, wherein this reads over "[t]he attributes included in the USERENV namespace may include, but are not limited to: the identity of the logon user"; and C12:L12-55, wherein this reads over "GetContextValue(<namespace>,<attribute>)"}.

15. As per dependent claims 9 and 16, LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 12, wherein the method further comprises:

using the search strategy to build a second pattern having a set of attributes to be used when searching for information in the knowledge base, such that the information is associated with the set of attributes in the pattern and also with the set of attributes in the second pattern {See LEI, C14:L4-32, wherein this reads over "the database server 212 invokes a policy function that has been bound to table t. The policy function then selectively adds one or more predicates to the received query", "SELECT * from t", "SELECT * from t WHERE t.lang=GetContextValue(language)", and "[t]he logic implemented in the policy function to determine which predicates, if any, should be appended to incoming queries may be arbitrarily complex"}.

16. **As per dependent claims 10 and 17,** LEI teaches:

The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the set of attributes contained in the pattern includes attributes selected from a group consisting of a symptom type, a status, a validation category, a priority type, and a priority level {See LEI, C14:L4-32, wherein this reads over "assume that access to table t is only allowed on weekdays (Monday-Friday), unless the access is performed by a database administrator"}.

17. As per independent claims 12, 20 and 22, LEI teaches:

A computer-implemented method for building a request to search for information in a knowledge base, the method comprising:

- obtaining a search strategy that is associated with the knowledge base {See LEI, C13:L55-C14:L32, wherein this reads over "dynamic predicate attachment"; and C16:L24-33, wherein this reads over "a policy function can produce a filtering predicate for a particular table of view object based on the current state of the user session"}; and
- using the search strategy to build a pattern having a set of attributes to be used when searching for information in the knowledge base, such that the information is associated with the set of attributes in the pattern (See LEI, C13:L55–C14:L32, wherein this reads over "[d]ynamic predicate attachment involves selectively adding predicates to queries that access database objects, such as tables and views").

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. **Claims 11 and 18** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LEI, in view of Official Notice.
- 20. **As per dependent claims 11 and 18,** LEI, in combination with Official Notice, discloses a method wherein the knowledge base is selected from a group consisting of a problem knowledge base, a solution knowledge base, and a business partner knowledge base. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a knowledge base from a group consisting of the aforementioned knowledge bases since a user may desire to access problems of a given problem type and consequently a solution knowledge base to find a correlated solution to the problem.

Conclusion

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian Chase can be reached on (571) 272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 TECH Center 2100

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER